## Methodological issues in nursing research: beyond a wax apple

Bronowski¹ tells us that science is the search to discover unity in the variety of nature and the variety of our experiences. Poetry, painting, and the arts are the same search. This author attempts through the language of poetry to search for the sense experiences of living through contemporary methodological issue questions in nursing. The intent is to move beyond the issue of issues and to discover some sense of unity. The question is raised implicitly why our wonderful scholarship has evolved into a search for structural truth rather than dynamic meaning.

Patricia L. Munhall, RN, EdD Associate Professor Graduate Program Hunter-Bellevue School of Nursing Hunter College New York, New York When Prufrock sang his love song "politic, cautious and meticulous: full of high sentence, but a bit obtuse" he asked us have we become etherized are we measuring our lives in coffee spoons?

Making issues out of methodologic?'s yields obfuscation
Struggle to the surface from didacticism to the freedom of possibilities of uncategorizing the colors of time, space, & being (even in the fourth dimension)

"Everything is alive: what we call dead is an abstraction." (3/p174)

Wax apples analogue models, systems, stages, symmetry tasteless, dry, but perfect in stability and lifelessness definitions, like wax apples limit possibilities

ANS, 1986, 8(3), 1-5 © 1986 Aspen Publishers, Inc. 2

"There are more things in heaven and earth than are dreamt of in our hypothesis, and our observations should be open to them." (pl27)

Anxiety tolls us miserably
Ultimately says Tillich' from fear of death
So we grope with what
stubbornly remains a mystery
Let's make the unknown, known
Let's create five stages to do it in.6

Go now (as in the bible) to the podium and search ye for the truth
Take the knotted, anomalous, confounded world
and make it orderly & sometimes predictable but report sometimes on an N. of I.

We come and go
Are we pre-paradigm or metaparadigm?
or no paradigm and a
research tradition<sup>8</sup>
Are we qualitative or quantitative
Are we empiricists, historicists or past it
all?<sup>9</sup>

"Dichotomy is the usual pathway to vulgarization. We take a complex web of arguments and divide it into two polarized positions—them against us. We then portray them as a foolish caricature of extremes in order to put us in a better light." 10(p7)

And thus we create idealogies,
"isms" and schisms
I like to do this sometimes,
sometimes though it goes too far
to a diversionary extreme
while people (holistic beings) suffer.

"We re-search and re-search to the point that we know less and less about more and more, failing to recognize the relevance or irrelevance of what we are studying." 11(p47) 99% of bicycle owners also have telephones is this lawfulness, determinism or causality? If this is causality, is it causalism, acausalism or semicausalism? 12
Or is it happenstance

More important perhaps, is it important?

I'm not value free and while so, I can still be a scientist Laudan<sup>13</sup> says so I believe he has read feminist literature so I think it's OK to have an "ism" so long as I don't equate it to "truth"

"... since we have come to the understanding that science is not a description of reality' but a metaphorical ordering of experiences, the new science does not impugn the old. It is not a question of which view is 'true' in some ultimate sense. Rather it is a matter of which picture is more useful in guiding human affairs."—Willis Harman<sup>14</sup>

Worldviews abound, concepts defined Human being—a biopsychosocial being<sup>15</sup> Nursing Client—biopsychosocial adaptive system<sup>16</sup>

Human being—an irreducible, negentropic energyfield<sup>17</sup> Nursing Client—unique, total, open system<sup>18</sup>

What is proposed has naught to do with truth
It has to do with perception, interpretation, unconscious wishes

Human being—a self care agent 19

unconscious wishe and stipulation.

Somehow we know this esthetically we see beauty in different things but where it comes to science

is it anxiety, the fear the apple will not live forever that prods us to seek the definition

Scheffler<sup>20</sup> stipulating about definitions says
there are three kinds
the stipulative
the descriptive
the programmatic

Interpretation yields the stipulative "this is how I see it" Wishes yield the programmatic "this is the way I think it should be" and the descriptive is what is in your dictionary

Go again to the podium and emerge in contradictions, hold in your head two opposing ideas, or maybe three, four ideas like real apples vary, unlike supposed "facts"

"Sit down before fact like a little child, and be prepared to give up every preconceived notion, following humbly wherever and to whatever abyss Nature leads or you shall learn nothing."—T.H. Huxley<sup>21</sup>

Polemics, to what end New ideas need not immediately polarize Though an oppressed group might tea party together, horizontally violent <sup>22</sup>

"But there are times when two or more research traditions, far from mutually undermining one another, can be amalgamated, producing a synthesis which is progressive with respect to both the former research traditions." <sup>13(p103)</sup>

Is there one best way
Is there one best outcome
When we focus our lens
on the literature of "issues"
concerning methods, a way of doing something
Wax apples are juggled about.

"The perfect method, by definition, leads us on a path of examining small segments and parts of reality apart from the whole and is based on the assumption that a segmented analysis of the parts will yield knowledge of the whole." <sup>11(p27)</sup>

However wax apples are not real and cannot, like imperfect ideas be expanded to assist people (unified wholes) with their suffering or pursuit of happiness.

Surrounded by them, one could die.

Trouble started in Eden over a real apple some might say, but interpretation of that infamous event has yielded that it was a gift from on high, called self determination

We seem though (understandably considering the anxiety) to want rules and corresponding rules We seem to search for truth Impregnable citadels Where the hopes, fears & loves of human beings (self care agents) are distracting

Should nurses study nurses
Should nurses be a concept
within a metaparadigm (or whatever)
Should research be basic and applied
or only applied, or just basic
or in neon lights proclaiming "CLINICAL."

These are some observations
These are some feeling states
What is written thus far is
stipulative
What is now offered is
programmatic

Rather than focus on definition and choices let us inquire into their construction their simultaneity their complementarity their interdependency their conjunction

Even as I think a contradiction emerges mocking my attempt to make sense out of a very dangerous world, but this always happens

Comparing and contrasting the either/or nature of choices is I think pedantically useful especially when a student says "why can't it be both ways?"

Is this programmatic thought conciliatory
Sterile reductionistic philosophies would say so,
I, in fact, admire their quest for clarity if it stops before impoverishment.

"One important sign of intellectual maturity is self consciousness." (Ap59)

Or in our rising consciousness we can do as Kierkegaard, ''to create difficulties everywhere'<sup>,23(p194)</sup> This is the beginning of wisdom to acknowledge the spell of historical contradictions.

Yes this is conciliation an ethic of ambiguity an attempt to build some bridges to present dichotomies not to choose between but to angle in for varying images

"Insight announces itself in mental images. Newton's conception of gravity and Einstein's notion of the constant speed of light came to them as perceptions, as images, not a hypothesis or conclusions drawn from logical deduction. Formal logic is secondary to insight via images, and is never the source of new knowledge." 24(p144)

Let us not make methodological issues another issue for the submissive aggressive syndrome<sup>22</sup> the differences should lead to discourse

We do not have to do anything according to a male prototype including an offense and a defense Nor do we have to be linebackers at conferences, lining up to question and tackling the jugular

Let us spend some time epistemizing but remembering again Prufrock "In the room the women come & go Talking of Michelangelo" (P239) Could that be us?

We're in this together to assist individuals (open systems) with problems of disease (alteration in wellness)

development (evolution), loneliness, anxiety hunger

We need not create these problems among ourselves

Our different angles enlarge our promise are to be summative not negating but engaging us in our community of endeavors

I found a wooden apple yesterday
The cost was \$4.99
It had all the practical properties of the wax
and saddened me
though it is no longer fashionable
to be a hippie

Don't we have a karma?

## REFERENCES

- Bronowski J: Science and Human Values. New York, Harper & Row, 1965.
- Eliot TS: The love song of J. Alfred Prufrock, in Perrine L: Sound and Sense, ed 2. New York, Harcourt, Brace & World, 1963.
- Bohm D, cited by Smith H: Beyond the modern Western mind set. Teachers College Record 1981;82 (3).
- Chronbach L, cited by Reichardt C, Cook T (eds): Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Evaluation Research. Beverly Hills, Calif, Sage, 1979.
- Tillich P: The Courage to Be. New Haven, Conn, Yale Univ Press, 1952.
- Kubler-Ross E: On Death and Dying. New York, Macmillan, 1970.
- Conway ME: Toward greater specificity in defining nursing's metaparadigm. Adv Nurs Sci 1985;7(4):73-82.
- Silva MC, Rothbart D: An analysis of changing trends in philosophies of science on nursing theory development and testing. Adv Nurs Sci 1984;6(2):1-13.
- Thompson JL: Practical discourse in nursing: Going beyond empiricism and historicism. Adv Nurs Sci 1985;7(4):59-72.
- 10. Gould SJ: Science and gender. The New York Times Book Review 1984;2:45-49.
- 11. Chinn P: Debunking myths in nursing theory and research. *Image*. 1985;17(2):45-49.
- Wilson LM, Fitzpatrick JJ: Dialectical thinking as a means of understanding systems-in-development: Relevance to Roger's principles. Adv Nurs Sci 1984;6(2):24-41.

- Laudan L: Progress and Its Problems: Towards a Theory of Scientific Growth. Berkeley, Univ of California Press, 1977.
- Harman W: Symposium on Consciousness. New York, Penguin, 1977.
- Johnson DE: The behavioral system model for nursing, in Riehl JP, Roy C (eds): Conceptual Models for Nursing Practice, ed 2. New York, Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1980.
- Roy C, Roberts SL: Theory Construction in Nursing: An Adaptation Model. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, Prentice Hall, 1981.
- Rogers M: Nursing: A science of unitary man, in Riehl JP, Roy C (eds): Conceptual Models for Nursing Practice, ed 2. New York, Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1980, pp 329-337.
- King IM: A Theory for Nursing: Systems, Concepts, Progress. New York, Wiley, 1981.
- Orem DE: Nursing: Concepts of Practice, ed 2. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1980.
- Scheffler I: The Language of Education. Springfield, Ill, Charles C Thomas, 1960.
- Huxley TH, cited by Dossey L: Space, Time, and Medicine. Boulder, Colo, Shambhala, 1982.
- Roberts SJ: Oppressed group behavior: Implications for nursing. Adv Nurs Sci 1983;5(4):21-30.
- Bretall R (ed): A Kierkegaard Anthology. New York, Modern Library, 1936.
- 24. Bohm D: Wholeness and the Implicate Order. London, Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1980.